# Formal Methods for Interactive Systems

Part 6 — Cognitive Models

Antonio Cerone

United Nations University International Institute for Software Technology Macau SAR China email: antonio@iist.unu.edu web: www.iist.unu.edu

A. Cerone, UNU-IIST – p.1/19

# Analysis of Interactive Systems Purposes:

 Understand the internal cognitive processes of a person performing a task
analyse complexity, learnability, ...

# Analysis of Interactive Systems Purposes:

- Understand the internal cognitive processes of a person performing a task
  ⇒ analyse complexity, learnability, ...
- Look at the observable behaviour of a person performing a task
  - $\implies$  analyse requirement capture, interface design, system design, documentation



of the user

 competence models represent expected behaviour

of the user

- competence models represent expected behaviour
- performance models represent and analyse routine behaviour

of the user

- competence models represent expected behaviour
- performance models represent and analyse routine behaviour

deal with three different levels:

 goal and task hierarchies: GOMS, Cognitive Complexity Theory (CCT)

of the user

- competence models represent expected behaviour
- performance models represent and analyse routine behaviour

deal with three different levels:

- goal and task hierarchies: GOMS, Cognitive Complexity Theory (CCT)
- human understanding: BNF, Task-action Grammar (TAG)

of the user

- competence models represent expected behaviour
- performance models represent and analyse routine behaviour

deal with three different levels:

- goal and task hierarchies: GOMS, Cognitive Complexity Theory (CCT)
- human understanding: BNF, Task-action Grammar (TAG)
- physical/device: Keystroke-level Model (KLM)

 developed when most interactive systems were command line or at most keybord and cursor based

- developed when most interactive systems were command line or at most keybord and cursor based
- how can they deal with windowed and mouse-driven interface

- developed when most interactive systems were command line or at most keybord and cursor based
- how can they deal with windowed and mouse-driven interface
- incorporate implicit and explicit models of cognitive processing

- developed when most interactive systems were command line or at most keybord and cursor based
- how can they deal with windowed and mouse-driven interface
- incorporate implicit and explicit models of cognitive processing
- tend to be competence models

- developed when most interactive systems were command line or at most keybord and cursor based
- how can they deal with windowed and mouse-driven interface
- incorporate implicit and explicit models of cognitive processing
- tend to be competence models
- seldom include the system

- developed when most interactive systems were command line or at most keybord and cursor based
- how can they deal with windowed and mouse-driven interface
- incorporate implicit and explicit models of cognitive processing
- tend to be competence models
- seldom include the system

 $\implies$  need for meta-model, expressive and flexible and able to address perfomance and be instantiated as overall system model A. Cerone, UNU-IIST - p.4/19

# Formal Methods

- $\cdot$  Z and B
- Statecharts
- Petri nets
- Process Algebras
- Temporal Logic





expressive and flexible

### FM Advantages

- expressive and flexible
- can describe both User and System

#### FM Advantages

- expressive and flexible
- can describe both User and System
- address verification (=> performance models)

# FM Advantages

- expressive and flexible
- can describe both User and System
- address verification (=> performance models)
- address safety and security standards

Scanning: The operator searches the interface for a certain property.

Scanning: The operator searches the interface for a certain property.

**Identification:** The operator identifies part of the interface that may represent the property.

Scanning: The operator searches the interface for a certain property.

**Identification:** The operator identifies part of the interface that may represent the property.

#### Classification: The operator

- assesses whether the property is in need of further interest;
- if so, gives some form of priority to the property.

Scanning: The operator searches the interface for a certain property.

**Identification:** The operator identifies part of the interface that may represent the property.

#### Classification: The operator

- assesses whether the property is in need of further interest;
- if so, gives some form of priority to the property.

Decision on how to resolve the situation.

Scanning: The operator searches the interface for a certain property.

**Identification:** The operator identifies part of the interface that may represent the property.

#### Classification: The operator

- assesses whether the property is in need of further interest;
- if so, gives some form of priority to the property.

Decision on how to resolve the situation.

Action to be performed as a series of interaction with the interface.

# **OCM** for Nuclear Plant

Scanning: The operator scans among each of the individual reactor readouts on the interface searching for any anomalies.

Identification: The operator identifies a particular readout.

#### **Classification:** The operator

- assesses whether the identified readout describes a normal or abnormal operation of the plant;
- if abnormal, gives a priority to the operation according to its urgency to be resolved.

Decision on how to resolve the abnormal situation.

Action to be performed as a series of interaction with the interface and with internal and/or external authorities. A. Cerone, UNU-IIST – p.8/19

# **OCM for Air Traffic Control**

Scanning: The operator scans among each pair of aircraft searching for a pair that may violate separation.

**Identification:** The operator identifies a pair of aircraft.

#### **Classification:** The operator

- assesses whether the identified pair of aircraft will eventually violate separation (in conflict) or not (not in conflict);
- if so, gives a priority to the conflict according to its urgency to be resolved.

Decision on how to resolve the conflict.

Action to be performed as a series of interaction with the interface.

Scanning: The operator searches the interface for a certain property.

**Identification:** The operator identifies part of the interface that may represent the property.

#### Classification: The operator

- assesses whether the property is in need of further interest;
- if so, gives some form of priority to the property.

Decision on how to resolve the situation.

Action to be performed as a series of interaction with the interface.





Scan: The operator searches the interface for a certain property.









#### Identify Part: The operator identifies a part *p* of the interface that may represent the property of interest.

# **Identifying Part**



#### Identify Part: The operator identifies a part *p* of the interface that may represent the property of interest.









# Classification



#### Classify *p*: The operator

- assesses whether the property is in need of further interest;
- if so, gives some form of priority to the property.

# Classification



#### Classify *p*: The operator

- assesses whether the property is in need of further interest;
- if so, gives some form of priority to the property.

Purpose | Models | Nuclear Plant | ATC | OCM | CSP |





# **Decision Making**



Make Decison on *p*: The operator makes a decision on how to resolve the situation determined by the property of *p*.

# **Decision Making**



Make Decison on *p*: The operator makes a decision on how to resolve the situation determined by the property of *p*.

# Action



# Action



**Perform Action:** 

# Action







# Intention vs. Action



# Intention vs. Action



#### CSP Notation Communication Sequential Processes Actions: *a*, *b*, *c*, ... Processes: *P*, *Q*, *R*, ...

**CSP Notation**Communication Sequential ProcessesActions: a, b, c, ...Processes: P, Q, R, ...Basic OperatorsPrefix:  $\rightarrow$  $P = a \rightarrow Q, \quad Q = c \rightarrow P$ 



**CSP** Notation **Communication Sequential Processes** Actions:  $a, b, c, \dots$ Processes:  $P, Q, R, \dots$ **Basic Operators** Prefix:  $\rightarrow$  $P = a \rightarrow Q, \quad Q = c \rightarrow P$  $R = (a \to P) [(b \to Q)]$ Choice:



**CSP** Notation **Communication Sequential Processes** Actions:  $a, b, c, \dots$ Processes:  $P, Q, R, \dots$ **Basic Operators** Prefix:  $\rightarrow$  $P = a \rightarrow Q, \quad Q = c \rightarrow P$  $R = (a \to P) [(b \to Q)]$ Choice: Parallel:  $\|$   $R \parallel S, S = b \rightarrow T, T = a \rightarrow T$  $\mathcal{A}$  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}$ 

**CSP** Notation **Communication Sequential Processes** Actions:  $a, b, c, \dots$ Processes:  $P, Q, R, \dots$ **Basic Operators** Prefix:  $\rightarrow$  $P = a \rightarrow Q, \quad Q = c \rightarrow P$ Choice:  $R = (a \to P) [(b \to Q)]$  $R \parallel S, S = b \rightarrow T, T = a \rightarrow T$ Parallel:  $\mathcal{A}$  $\mathcal{O}$ b b  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}$  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ A. Cerone, UNU-IIST – p.17/19

compose parts of the system

- compose parts of the system
- compose user and system/environment

- compose parts of the system
- compose user and system/environment
- define assumptions

- compose parts of the system
- compose user and system/environment
- define assumptions

Assumptions || User || System where System = System<sub>1</sub> || ... || System<sub>n</sub>

- compose parts of the system
- compose user and system/environment
- define assumptions

Assumptions || User || System where System = System<sub>1</sub> || ... || System<sub>n</sub>

User || SystemUser || Environmentwith possibly  $User = User_1$  || ... ||  $User_m$ 



Scanning: The operator searches the interface for a certain property



# Formal Model using CSP $S = s \rightarrow (([]_{p:Part}...)[]S)$



Identification: The operator identifies part of the interface that may represent the property

$$S = s \to ((\llbracket_{p:Part}(s_p \to C_p))\llbracket S)$$



Classification: The operator assesses whether the property is in need of further interest

$$S = s \longrightarrow (([]_{p:Part}(s_p \to C_p))[]S)$$



Classification: If so, the operator gives some form of priority to the property

$$S = s \to (([]_{p:Part}(s_p \to C_p))[]S)$$













Action to be performed as a series of interactions with the interface

Scan

![](_page_66_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_4.jpeg)