Principles of Programming Languages

http://www.di.unipi.it/~andrea/Didattica/PLP-15/

Prof. Andrea Corradini
Department of Computer Science, Pisa

Lesson 15

- More on Denotational semantics
- Not 1-1 bindings: Aliases and overloading
- Shallow and deep binding

Semantic Domains in Denotational Semantics

- Semantic domains must allow to model partiality and potentially infinite computations
- They must provide solutions to two kinds of recursive definitions
 - Definition of interpretation functions on iterative constructs, like "while Exp do Com"

```
C\{\text{while } e \text{ do } c\}s =  if E\{e\}s = \text{false then } s \text{ else } C\{\text{while } e \text{ do } c\} (C\{c\}s)
```

Recursive definitions of domains

For example, if we add parameterless procedures as expressible values in LOOP, we get the recursive domain equations shown below

$$P = S \rightarrow S$$
 procedures
 $E = N + P$ expressible values
 $S = Var \rightarrow E$ states

Kleene fixed-point theorem

- Complete Partial Orders satisfy all the listed requirements
 - A complete partial order (CPO) is a partial order with a least element and such that every increasing chain has a supremum
- An element $x \in D$ is a *fixed point* of a function $F: D \rightarrow D$ if F(x) = x
- Theorem: Every continuous function F over a complete partial order (CPO) has a least fixed-point, which is the supremum of chain

$$F(\bot) \le F(F((\bot)) \le \dots \le F^n(\bot) \le \dots$$

- This can be exploited to solve recursive domain equations and recursive definitions of interpretation functions
- In the following: Domain = CPO

Defining CPO's

- Several operators can help defining domains
 - Given a set X, its *lifting* X_{\perp} is $X \cup \{\bot\}$, where $\bot < y$ for all y in X (simply add \bot to X as least element)
 - we obtain primitive domains $(Bool_{\perp}, Nat_{\perp}, Ide_{\perp}, Loc_{\perp}, ...)$
 - Domains are closed under the following operations
 - 1. $D_1 \times D_2$

product (pairs)

2. $D_1 + D_2$

sum (disjoint union)

3. $D_1 \rightarrow D_2$

- continuous functions
- 4. $D^n = D \times D \times ... D$
- lists of length *n*
- 5. $D^* = D^0 + D^1 + ... + D^k + ...$ finite lists

Notation

- Product: $A \times B = \{(a,b) \mid a \subseteq A, b \subseteq B\}$ Projections: $\pi_1((a,b)) = a$ $\pi_2((a,b)) = b$
- Sum (Union): $A + B = \{(a,0) \mid a \in A\} \cup \{(b,1) \mid a \in B\}$ Injections: $in_1(a) = (a,0)$ $in_2(b) = (b,1)$ Case analysis: $((x,y): A+B \text{ as } A) = (y=0 \rightarrow x, \text{ error})$

Note: we do not describe error handling and propagation

- Function space: A → B = {f | f: A → B is a continuous function}
 - Application: if $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $a \in A$, then $f(a) \in B$ Often brackets are omitted: f(a) becomes f(a)
- For defining functions we use as metalanguage the λ -notation

λ-notation

$$\lambda$$
-terms: $t := x \mid \lambda x.t \mid tt \mid t \mapsto t,t \mid (t)$

- x variable
- $\lambda x.t$ abstraction, defines an anonymous function
- t t' application of function t to argument t'

•
$$t \mapsto t_0$$
, $t_1 = t_0$ if $t = true$ conditional $= t_1$ if $t = false$

- [λ -abstraction] $\underline{x : A, t(x) : B}$ function definition $\lambda x.t : A \rightarrow B$
- [β -conversion] function application $(\lambda x.t) t' = t [t'/x]$

Introducing semantic domains

- Syntactic and semantic domains depend on the language
- Often, main syntactic domains: Exp, Com, Decl for Expressions, Commands, Declarations
- Representation of memory as domain S: Var → N too simplistic.
 Needs to model:
 - same variable declared in multiple scopes
 - aliases
 - different effects of assignment (copy of value/reference)
 - pointers/references, ...
- Typical solution: indirect binding of variable names to values.
 - Ide (identifiers): syntactic domain for program variables, formal parameters, ...
 - Loc (locations): semantic domain for storage variables, references, pointers, ...
 - Env = Ide \rightarrow Dval (environments)
 - Store = Loc → Sval (stores)

Example: "variable x contains 5"

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} r(x) = 1 & r: Env & x: Ide \\ s(1) = 5 & s: Store & 1: Loc \end{bmatrix}$$

Environments, Stores and Values

Env = Ide → Dval (environments)
Store = Loc → Sval (stores)

- Several domains for values:
 - Sval (storable values) values that can be stored in memory
 - Includes at least N, Bool, ...
 - Dval (denotable values) values that can be bound to variables
 - Includes Loc
 - Eval (expressible values) results of evaluation of expressions
 - Typically includes **Sval**
- Domains of values can differ greatly among languages
- Eg: in Pascal Sval = Num + Bool and Dval = Loc + Arrays + Proc + Label + ...
- Stores are equipped with primitive operations
 - − content : Loc → Store → Sval content(I)(s) = s(I) content | s = s | content = $\lambda I.\lambda s.s$ |
 - update: (Loc x Sval) → Store → Store update = λx . λs . λl . ($l = \pi_0(x) \mapsto \pi_1(x)$, s l) update (l', v) s l = (l = l' \mapsto v, s l)

Semantic interpretation functions

The semantic interpretation functions are

 $D: \mathbf{Decl} \rightarrow \mathbf{Env} \rightarrow \mathbf{Store} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Env} \times \mathbf{Store})$

A declaration can modify both the env. and the store

C: Cmd \rightarrow Env \rightarrow Store \rightarrow Store

Assumes that commands cannot change the env.

 $E: \mathbf{Exp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Env} \rightarrow \mathbf{Store} \rightarrow \mathbf{Eval}$

Assumes absence of side effects

OR

 $E: \mathbf{Exp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Env} \rightarrow \mathbf{Store} \rightarrow (\mathbf{Eval} \times \mathbf{Store})$

Allows for side effects during expr. evaluation

Example: Declaration of variables and assignment

Semantics: assignment with side effects Syntax Decl ::= **var** Ide = Exp | C {e1 := e2} r s = update(x as Loc, v as Sval) s2 where $(x, s1) = E\{e1\} r s$ Exp ::= ... Com ::= Exp := Exp | ... and $(v,s2) = E\{e2\} r s1$ Evaluates first e1 then e2: store **Semantics: declaration** changes are propagated $D\{var x = e\} r s = (r[1/x], s[n/1])$

where I = newloc(s)**Semantic interpretation functions** D: Decl \rightarrow Env \rightarrow Store \rightarrow (Env x Store) and $n = E\{e\} r s$ C: Cmd \rightarrow Env \rightarrow Store \rightarrow Store Allocates a new location bound to x and containing n

Semantics: assignment $C \{e1 := e2\} r s = update(x, v) s$ where $x = E\{e1\} r s as Loc$ and $v = E\{e2\} r s as$ Sval No side-effects, no coercion

E: Exp \rightarrow Env \rightarrow Store \rightarrow Eval no side eff E: Exp \rightarrow Env \rightarrow Store \rightarrow (Eval x Store) Env = Ide \rightarrow Dval Store = Loc \rightarrow Sval

Dval = ... + Loc + ... Eval = ... + Loc + Sval + ...

Example: Parameterless procedures and blocks with static and dynamic scoping

Syntax

Decl ::= ... | **proc** Ide {Com}

Com ::= ... | **call** Ide

| {Decl; Com}

Semantics: blocks

 $C\{ \{d; c\} \} r s = C\{c\} r' s'$ where $(r',s') = D\{d\} r s$

Semantic domains

Declarations **Decl** D: Decl \rightarrow Env \rightarrow Store \rightarrow (Env x Store)

Dynamic scoping $Proc = Env \rightarrow Store \rightarrow Store$

Static scoping

Proc = Store \rightarrow Store

Dval = ... + Proc

Semantics: parameterless, dynamic scoping

 $D\{\mathbf{proc}\ p\{c\}\}\ r\ s = (r[k/p], s)$ where $k = \lambda r' \cdot \lambda s' \cdot C\{c\} r' s'$

 $C\{\text{call }p\} \text{ r s} = (r(p) \text{ as } Proc) \text{ r s}$

 $D\{proc p\{c\}\}\ r s = (r', s)$ no recursion! where $r' = r[\lambda s'. C\{c\} r s' / p]$ $C\{\text{call }p\} \text{ r s} = (r(p) \text{ as } Proc) \text{ s}$ $D\{\text{proc }p\{c\}\}\ r\ s=(r[\alpha_0/p],\ s)\ \text{recursion}$ where α_0 minimal fixed point of $\alpha = \lambda s'.C\{c\} r[\alpha/p] s'$

Semantics: no parameter static scoping

ALIASES AND OVERLOADING DEEP AND SHALLOW BINDING

Not 1-to-1 bindings: Aliases

Aliases: two or more names denote the same object Arise in several situations:

Pointer-based data structures

```
Java:
Node n = new Node("hello", null);
Node n1 = n;
```

 common blocks (Fortran), variant records/unions (Pacal, C)

 Passing (by name or by reference) variables accessed non-locally

```
double sum, sum_of_squares;
...
void accumulate(double& x)
{
    sum += x;
    sum_of_squares += x * x;
}
...
accumulate(sum);
```

Problems with aliases

- Make programs more confusing
- May disallow some compiler's optimizations

```
int a, b, *p, *q;
...
a = *p; /* read from the variable referred to by p*/

*q = 3; /* assign to the variable referred to by q */
b = *p; /* read from the variable referred to by p */
```

 Cause for a long time of inefficiency of C versus FORTRAN compilers

Not 1-to-1 bindings: Overloading

- A name that can refer to more than one object is said to be overloaded
 - Example: + (addition) is used for integer and floating-point addition in most programming languages
- Overloading is typically resolved at compile time
- Semantic rules of a programming language require that the context of an overloaded name should contain sufficient information to deduce the intended binding
- Semantic analyzer of compiler uses type checking to resolve bindings
- Ada, C++,Java, ... function overloading enables programmer to define alternative implementations depending on argument types (signature)
- Ada, C++, and Fortran 90 allow built-in operators to be overloaded with user-defined functions
 - enhances expressiveness
 - may mislead programmers that are unfamiliar with the code

First, Second, and Third-Class Subroutines

- First-class object: an object entity that can be passed as a parameter, returned from a subroutine, and assigned to a variable
 - Primitive types such as integers in most programming languages
 - → The object is in Sval, Eval and Dval
- Second-class object: an object that can be passed as a parameter, but not returned from a subroutine or assigned to a variable
 - Fixed-size arrays in C/C++
 - → The object is in **Dval**
- Third-class object: an object that cannot be passed as a parameter, cannot be returned from a subroutine, and cannot be assigned to a variable
 - Labels of goto-statements and subroutines in Ada 83
- Functions in Lisp, ML, and Haskell are unrestricted first-class objects
- With certain restrictions, subroutines are first-class objects in Modula-2 and 3, Ada 95, (C and C++ use function pointers)

Scoping issues for first/second class subroutines

- Critical aspects of scoping when
 - Subroutines are passed as parameters
 - Subroutines are returned as result of a function
- Resolving names declared locally or globally is obvious
 - Global objects are allocated statically (or on the stack, in a fixed position)
 - Their addresses are known at compile time
 - Local objects are allocated in the activation record of the subroutine
 - Their addresses are computed as base of activation record + statically known offset

"Referencing" ("Non-local") Environments

- If a subroutine is passed as an argument to another subroutine, when are the static/dynamic scoping rules applied?
 - When the reference to the subroutine is first created (i.e. when it is passed as an argument)
 - 2) Or when the argument subroutine is finally called
- That is, what is the referencing environment of a subroutine passed as an argument?
 - Eventually the subroutine passed as an argument is called and may access non-local variables which by definition are in the referencing environment of usable bindings
- The choice is fundamental in languages with dynamic scope: deep binding (1) vs shallow binding (2)
- The choice is limited in languages with static scope

Effect of Deep Binding in Dynamically-Scoped Languages

Program execution:

```
main(p)
bound:integer Deep
bound := 35 binding
show(p,older)
bound:integer
bound := 20
older(p)
    return p.age>bound
if return value is true
    write(p)
```

The following program demonstrates the difference between deep and shallow binding:

```
function older(p:person):boolean
  return p.age > bound
procedure show(p:person,c:function)
  bound:integer
  bound := 20
  if c(p)
    write(p)
procedure main(p)
  bound:integer
  bound := 35
  show(p,older)
```

Program prints persons older than 35

Effect of Shallow Binding in Dynamically-Scoped Languages

Program execution:

```
main(p)
bound:integer
bound := 35
show(p,older)
bound:integer
bound:integer
binding
bound := 20
older(p)
return p.age>bound
if return value is true
write(p)
```

Program prints persons older than 20

The following program
 demonstrates the difference
 between deep and shallow binding:

```
function older(p:person):boolean
  return p.age > bound
procedure show(p:person,c:function)
  bound:integer
  bound := 20
  if c(p)
    write(p)
procedure main(p)
  bound:integer
  bound := 35
  show(p,older)
```