

Lecture13-30: Exercises

prof. Marco Bellia, Dip. Informatica, Università di Pisa

May 27, 2014

Excercise1

Exercise1.

We decide to add an iterator *for*, to the language Ocaml. Ocaml already has an iterator *for* but we want to add an iterator having the same structure and behavior of *for* of Ansi-C :

- (a) Explain:
 1. What is the difference of the two *for* and
 2. How the structure and the behaviour of the new one should be;
- (b) Give an abstract syntax and a denotational semantics of the new construct;
- (c) Show the implementation, in Ocaml, of the new construct ;
- (d) Discuss the mechanisms that have been used to do previous point;
- (e) Apply the new construct in rephrasing the code below and comment about its running:

```
int x=0;
int y=0;
for(x=y=1; x+y<100;x++){x=x-1; y=y+2}
```

Excercise1 - sol/1

Exercise1.

We decide to add an iterator *for*, to the language Ocaml. Ocaml already has an iterator *for* but we want to add an iterator having the same structure and behavior of *for* of Ansi-C:

- (a) Explain:
1. What is the difference of the two *for* and
 2. How the structure and the behaviour of the new one should be;

Example (Solution)

- a1. The *for* of Ocaml is a determined iterator whilst the C's one is a non-determined iterator;
- a2. **About Syntax.** We use the following syntactic structure:
 $E ::= \text{For } (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_C);$
where, E_1, E_2, E_3 are expressions for initialization, limit, increment, and E_C is the command-like expression to be iterated.
- About Semantic.** We assume the following semantic constraints:
- (i) Expressions E_1, E_2, E_3, E_C are delayed expressions, i.e. they are functions of type $\text{unit} \rightarrow 'a$ for $'a$ which is `bool` for E_2 and `unit` for E_C ;
 - (ii) all the mutable values that should be shared from the expressions E_1, E_2, E_3, E_C , have been introduced in an environment having the *for*-expression in its scope.

Excercise1 - sol/2

Excercise1.

We decide to add an iterator *for*, to the language Ocaml. Ocaml already has an iterator *for* but we want to add an iterator having the same structure and behavior of *for* of Ansi-C :

- (b) Give an abstract syntax and a denotational semantics of the new construct;

Example (Solution in an ordinary Imperative context (first table) and then, in an Applicative one (2nd table))

Syntactic Domains

$C ::= \dots \mid \text{For } C_1 E_2 C_3 C \mid \dots$

Semantic Functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}[C]_\rho &: \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Store}_\perp \\ \mathcal{M}[\text{For } C_1 E_2 C_3 C]_\rho(s) &= \\ &\text{Let}\{s_1 = \mathcal{M}[C_1]_\rho(s)\} \\ &\quad \{Y g. \lambda g. \lambda s_w. \text{Let}\{(v, s_2) = \mathcal{E}[E_2]_\rho(s_w)\} \\ &\quad \quad \text{if}(\text{false}(v), s_2, (\mathcal{M}[C]_\rho \circ \mathcal{M}[C_3]_\rho \circ g)(s_2))\} \\ &g(s_1) \end{aligned}$$

Syntactic Domains

$E ::= \dots \mid \text{For } E_1 E_2 E_3 E_c \mid \dots$

Semantic Functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[E]_\rho &: \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Store}_\perp \\ \mathcal{E}[\text{For } E_1 E_2 E_3 E_c]_\rho(s) &= \\ &\text{Let}\{(\text{unit}, s_1) = \mathcal{E}[E_1]_\rho(s)\} \\ &\quad \{Y g. \lambda g. \lambda s_w. \text{Let}\{(v, s_2) = \mathcal{E}[E_2]_\rho(s_w)\} \\ &\quad \quad \text{if}(\text{false}(v), s_2, (\mathcal{E}[E_c]_\rho \circ_u \mathcal{E}[E_3]_\rho \circ_u g)(s_2))\} \\ &(\text{unit}, g(s_1)) \end{aligned}$$

where: $g1 \circ_u g2(s) = g2(s2)$ where $(\text{unit}, s2) = g1(s)$ for all states s and functions $g1, g2$ of type $\text{Store} \rightarrow (\text{unit} \times \text{Store})$

Excercise1 - sol/3

Excercise1.

We decide to add an iterator *for*, to the language Ocaml. Ocaml already has an iterator *for* but we want to add an iterator having the same structure and behavior of *for* of Ansi-C :

- (c) Show the implementation, in Ocaml, of the new construct;

Example (Solution the semantics in an Applicative context (1st table), its impementation in Ocaml (2nd table))

Syntactic Domains

$E ::= \dots \mid \text{For } E_1 E_2 E_3 E_c \mid \dots$

Semantic Functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[E]_\rho &: \text{Store} \rightarrow \text{Store}_\perp \\ \mathcal{E}[\text{For } E_1 E_2 E_3 E_c]_\rho(s) &= \\ &\text{Let}\{(unit, s_1) = \mathcal{E}[E_1]_\rho(s)\} \\ &\quad \{Y g. \lambda g. \lambda s_w. \text{Let}\{(v, s_2) = \mathcal{E}[E_2]_\rho(s_w)\} \\ &\quad \quad \text{if}(\text{false}(v), s_2, (\mathcal{E}[E_c]_\rho \circ_u \mathcal{E}[E_3]_\rho \circ_u g)(s_2))\} \\ &\quad (unit, g(s_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Ocaml Implementation

```
let forExp = fun (e1, e2, e3) → fun e_c →
  let rec forLoop = fun() →
    if e2() then (e_c(); e3(); forLoop())
    else ()
  in (e1(); forLoop());;
```

Noting the type of:

`forExp:(unit → 'a) × (unit → bool) × (unit → 'b) → (unit → 'c) → unit`

Excercise1 - sol/4

Exercise1.

We decide to add an iterator *for*, to the language Ocaml. Ocaml already has an iterator *for* but we want to add an iterator having the same structure and behavior of *for* of Ansi-C:

- (d) Discuss the mechanisms that have been used to do previous point;

Example (Solution. Impementation in Ocaml)

Ocaml Implementation

```
let forExp = fun (e1, e2, e3) → fun e_c →  
  let rec forLoop = fun() →  
    if e2() then (e_c(); e3(); forLoop())  
    else ()  
  in (e1(); forLoop());;
```

The mechanisms are listed below with considerations on the role:

- Expressions instead of Expressions and Commands. Command are viewed as "unit" expressions with side effects. Hence, ordinary expressions (with transparency) are replaced by expressions computing "unit" and producing side-effects;
- Delay Expressions for by Name/Function parameter passing. Delay Expressions have type $(\text{unit} \rightarrow 'a)$ and when used as commands, it becomes $(\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{unit})$;
- Finally, noting:
 - Composition operators: Ocaml's ";" implements \circ_u
 - The type, $\text{forExp}:(\text{unit} \rightarrow 'a) \times (\text{unit} \rightarrow \text{bool}) \times (\text{unit} \rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow (\text{unit} \rightarrow 'c) \rightarrow \text{unit}$

Excercise1 - sol/5

Exercise1.

We decide to add an iterator *for*, to the language Ocaml. Ocaml already has an iterator *for* but we want to add an iterator having the same structure and behavior of *for* of Ansi-C:

- (e) Apply the new construct in rephrasing the code below and ...
- ```
int x=0;
int y=0;
for(x=y=1; x+y<100;x++){x=x-1; y=y+2}
```

### Example (Solution. Rephrasing: The session in Ocaml)

```
let x = ref 0;; comments ...
val x : int ref = {contents = 0}
let y = ref 0;;
val y : int ref = {contents = 0}
let init = fun() -> y:=1;x:=1;; comments ...
val init : unit -> unit = <fun>
let test = fun() -> (!x + !y)<100;; comments ...
val test : unit -> bool = <fun>
let inc = fun() -> x:= !x + 1;; comments ...
val inc : unit -> unit = <fun>
let cmd = fun() -> x:=!x - 1; y:= !y + 2;; comments ...
val cmd : unit -> unit = <fun>
forExp(init,test,inc)cmd;; comments ...
- : unit = ()
!x;; Store state.
- : int = 1
!y;;
- : int = 99
```

# Excercises 2-6

## Exercise2.

Complete in Ocaml, the definition of the memoized factorial, discussed in the slides on the memoization. The definition must use a local (hash) table. The (hash) table could be reduced to a simple list of pairs or to a suitable function.

**Solution** has been given in slide 5 of Lecture20.

## Exercise3.

Write, in Ocaml, a definition of QuickSort that must be developed according to the following methodologies: Divide and Conquer, Higher Order, Generic Types

**Solution** has been given in slide 7 of Lecture20.

## Exercise4.

Give, in Ocaml, a tail recursive definition of a function that computes the n-th of the Fibonacci series

## Exercise5.

Use iterative HOP for defining, in Ocaml, the size of lists.

## Exercise6.

Use Data Extensions Through Functional Abstractions for defining, in Ocaml, data behaving as array of declared size. The new data have the following operations:

array(k) that returns an array with the index ranging over  $[0, k-1]$  and with undefined elements;

set(i,u,g) that returns an array that differs from g for the setting to u, of the i-indexed element of g, if any;

get(i,g) that returns the i-indexed element of g, if any;

Remind that You can't use structured types of any sort.



# Exercices 2-6 sol/1

## Exercise5.

Use iterative HOP for getting a program, in Ocaml, that defines the size of generic lists.

### Solution

```
let size n = List.fold_right (fun x → ((+1)) n 1);;
```

## Exercise4.

Give, in Ocaml, a tail recursive definition of a function that computes the n-th of the Fibonacci series

### Example (Solution. Ordinary and Tail Recursive Fibonacci n-th)

```
(* ordinary recursive definition *)
```

```
let rec fibN = fun n ->
 if n=0 then 1
 else if n=1 then 1
 else (fibN (n-1)) + (fibN (n-2));;
```

```
(* tail recursive definition *)
```

```
let fibN = fun n ->
 let rec innerFibNTI = fun n pred1 pred2 ->
 if (n=0) then pred2
 else if (n=1) then pred1
 else innerFibNTI (n-1) (pred1+pred2) pred1
 in innerFibNTI n 1 1;;
```



# Excercises 2-6 sol/2

## Exercise6.

Use Data Extensions through Functional Abstractions for defining, in Ocaml, data behaving as array of declared size. The new data have the following operations:

array(k,w) that returns an array with the index ranging over  $[0,k-1]$  and with all the elements initialized to the (default) value  $w$ .

set(i,u,g) that returns an array that differs from  $g$  for the setting to  $u$ , of the  $i$ -indexed element of  $g$ , if any;

get(i,g) that returns the  $i$ -indexed element of  $g$ , if any;

Remind that You can't use structured types of any sort.

### Example (Solution. Data Extension through Functional Abstractions of Array)

```
exception ArrayOutOfBoundsException;;
array(k,w) = fun i →
 if i=-1 then k
 else if (i>-1&i<k) then w else raise ArrayOutOfBoundsException;;
set(i,u,g) = if (i<0 or i>g(-1)) then g
 else fun n → if n=-1 then g(-1)
 else if n=i then u else g(n)
get(i,g) = g(i)
```

Noting that such a definition could be encapsulated into an abstract data type.

## Exercise7.

Though the definition of array in exercise6 uses a representation which is quite protected, it is not completely safe against illegal or inappropriate use.

- Give a concrete example of this fact;
- Provide a solution that guarantees complete protection.

# Exercise7 sol

## Exercise7.

Though the definition of array in exercise6 uses a representation which is quite protected, it is not completely safe against illegal or inappropriate use.

- Give a concrete example of this fact;
- Provide a solution that guarantees complete protection.

### Example (Solution. Part a: Operations are not protected against fake values)

```
let anarray = array(3,0);;
val anarray : int → int = <fun>
get(0,anarray);;
- : int = 0
get(5,anarray);;
Exception: ArrayOutOfBoundsException.
let aFake = fun n → if n = -1 then 3 else 5;;
val aFake : int → int = <fun>
get(5,aFake);;
- : int = 5
let aFake1 = set(5,12,aFake);;
val aFake1 : int → int = <fun>
get(5,aFake1);;
- : int = 5
```

The use of aFake1 as value for the operations on "array" result in wrong behaviours and can lead the program into a stuck

### Example (Solution. Part b: Use of ADT against fake values)

Complete with an API and one ADT for the API